


| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/688,462 | Applicant(s) ALLAEI, DARYOUSH | |
| | Examiner Vinh T Luong | Art Unit 3682 |  |

All Participants:

(1) Vinh T Luong.

(2) Tod A. Myrum.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 October 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:


Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Obviousness Type Double Patenting
Claims discussed:
None
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner informed the Applicant that the terminal disclaimer (TD) filed on October 4, 2004 was improper because the person who signed the TD was not an attorney of record. Applicant agreed to submit a new TD.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Vinh T. Luong
Primary Examiner


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)